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I'm going to talk to you about the importance of Samba as a service on the desktop
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- Why do we need this?
  - Peer-to-peer filesharing - *document* sharing - is important
  - There are many other solutions, but most of these run over the Internet
  - Users want to be able to share documents with their neighbors even when there's no Internet
  - Or when the Internet connection is many orders of magnitude slower than the local link
  - (Like at conferences)
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Why Samba?

- FTP
  - Pros: installed everywhere by default
  - Cons: not secure everywhere by default (requires extra software installed on clients if you don't want passwords sent in the clear, as well as an exotic server configuration); no good way to discover/browse the servers on the network
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- NFS
  - Pros: with GSSAPI (Kerberos), can provide good security out of the box
  - Cons: only Linux really works as a client out of the box, and has no good desktop client tools for managing connections (traditionally oriented to sharing with systems, not with users); not discoverable
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- WebDav+avahi (zeroconf)
  - Pros: discoverable, easy to set up, http supported everywhere
  - Cons: Linux zeroconf is compatible with OS X, but not with Windows, and older Windows doesn't support it out-of-the-box; and still means passwords in the clear by default!
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  - Pros: discoverable (NetBIOS); cross-platform (Linux+OSX+Windows); avoids sending passwords in the clear even as a stand-alone server with no PKI; easy to manage from the desktop
  - Cons: ?
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Samba

Pros: discoverable (NetBIOS); cross-platform (Linux+OSX+Windows); avoids sending passwords in the clear even as a stand-alone server with no PKI; easy to manage from the desktop

Cons: Microsoft has been known to move the bar occasionally
Ok, so why Samba?

- There are many other possible solutions for local filesharing: ftp, NFS, gnome-user-share (WebDav+avahi), ...
- Samba beats all the others hands-down for cross-platform security and ease of use!
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  - Pulled in automatically (package install over the network) when the user enables sharing
  - So no security concern by default from running a service, and no performance overhead from extra processes either
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- No samba server installed by default
  - Pulled in automatically (package install over the network) when the user enables sharing
  - No security concern, no performance overhead by default from running a service
- PAM password synchronization enabled automatically when the service is selected
  - Not installed by default because NTLM hashes are weaker than SHA512
  - Users created after work automatically, existing accounts have to re-login before they can be used
The design

- Easy GUI enablement of shares by admin users, using 'net usershare'
  - Can be delegated to additional users by adding them to the *sambashare* group
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- Challenges
  - Upstream desktop developers on Linux don't test SMB VFS support very extensively
  - Infrastructure wasn't there on Ubuntu for PAM automatic enablement – solved today
  - NTLM-only solution today – what about AD in a box?
The role of Samba on the Linux desktop

- Comments?
- Questions?
- The future?