## Improving the SAMBA VFS for Zero Samba Mods

Richard Sharpe Panzura

## Agenda

- What do I mean by zero Samba mods
- Why do we care?
- What do OEMs do today?
- How can we get to zero mods?
- The changes that would be useful
- Going further

#### What do I mean by zero Samba mods

- OEMs can ship a product that uses Samba

   Without having to modify Samba
- They might have to write one or more VFS modules

**Note**: There is already Wiki page on improving the VFS.

### Why do we care

- Reduce the complexity of
  - Using Samba in a product
  - Supporting Samba in a product
    - Merging their patches and our patches is hard work
  - Upgrading to new versions of Samba
    - Which of their patches still apply? Cleanly?
- Makes for happier OEMs

More predictable transitions to new versions

## What OEMs do today

- OEMs I have worked with
  - Panasas
  - Data Domain
  - Drobo
  - Scale Computing
  - Dell/Ocarina
  - Tandberg
  - Panzura

## What do OEMs Do Today

- Panzura
  - At least 54 mods, but likely more
  - At least 5 VFS modules
- QNAP
- Synology
- Others

## Some mods

- Correct Windows Previous Versions Behavior
  - Numerous changes
  - Return RO Volume in smbd\_do\_qfsinfo
    - Required an FSP be passed in
  - Must allow Write-like opens
    - Even though ROFS
    - Fall back to O\_RDONLY on open(2)
  - Return NT\_STATUS\_MEDIA\_WRITE\_PROTECTED
    - When incompatible operation
  - Touched a lot of places

- Sometimes Samba too noisy
  - Dumps core when it is of not much use
    - The level 0 log is enough
  - Prints out errors at wrong level
    - Relatively minor issue
- Sometimes Samba not noisy enough
  - Silently converts errors to ACCESS\_DENIED
  - Should log at level 0 so we can see these cases

- Did not want to call ntimes after file closed
  - Samba does that to ensure that times set by SetInfo do not change on close(2) (we think)
  - ZFS does not change times on close
  - Calling ntimes after close caused races

- Hiding things from readdir
- Exposing things to readdir/Find First/Query
  - ~snapshot
  - ~policy
  - Etc

• QueryDeleteOnClose

## Some mods, Synology

- A point in time
  - Based on Samba 3.6.9 when I checked
  - A 2.9MB patch file!
  - Has their change group membership when signal
  - Plus others

## Some mods, Tandberg

- Tandberg wanted the Synology fix
  - Doing it for real took longer than anticipated
  - It is harder than anticipated
  - Required changes to:
    - messaging.idl, srv\_srvsvc.c, proto.h, sharesec.c
    - service.c, server.c, process.c, auth\_util.c, token\_util.c
    - New file auth\_reload.c
  - Will send changes out soon for people to look at

## Some mods, Drobo

- Some integration with netatalk
- Some build fixes
- Pretty much all available in recent builds

### Some mods, Data Domain

- Had a user-space file system accessed via NFS
- Needed support for readdirplus
- Moved to Likewise now

- However, that took around five years

## How can we get to zero mods?

- If you simply ship Samba as is
  - No special VFS modules, etc
  - It is easy
    - Download the RPMs from SerNet
- Otherwise, an impossible goal
  - At least have to add your VFS module(s) to source tree
  - Change/add wscript files
  - Build

### What can we do?

- Carefully figure out what is needed
  - Provide correct interfaces
  - Current VFS accreted over time
- Develop a plan to implement changes
  - Some are relatively simple
  - Some are much harder

## Changes that would be useful

- VFS Changes
- Samba Changes
- Build changes

### **VFS Changes**

- Always return NTSTATUS from VFS functions
- Pass an FSP to more functions
- Provide for locking calls to the VFS
- Push CTDB calls through a VFS

#### **Return NTSTATUS from VFS Functions**

- VFS started out with UNIX-style error handling
  - I have added new errno values
    - ESHARINGVIOLATION, etc
- Added some functions with NTSTATUS returns

   As needed
- Needs to be consistent
  - Some have suggested an upper VFS and a lower VFS.

### Pass an FSP to more functions

- ntimes
- directory scanning functions
- Why?
  - Access state stashed in an fsp extension
- Strategy
  - If you are not passing an fsp, justify it
  - Some cannot take an fsp however
    - connect
    - disconnect

## Provide for locking calls

- Some VFS modules need to take locks
- To avoid deadlock, provide locking hooks
   Separate from Byte Range Locks
- Needs justification

## Push CTDB calls through a VFS

- All the CTDB calls are made via TDB wrappers
- Should be in a/the VFS

- Then other mechanisms can be supported

### Samba changes

- Design a cleaner VFS interface
   Perhaps an upper and lower VFS
- This is harder to do, but is needed
   However, we have reasonable tests in Samba 4
- OEMs do not need to understand the Samba code
  - Just the VFS interface

## **Build Changes**

- With Samba 3.5 and 3.6
  - In tree or
  - Out of tree
- This is not possible currently with Samba 4
- Need to think about providing an include files package
  - OEMs do not need to build SAMBA and keep the source around

# Going further

- Move VFS Developer Info to the Wiki
  - [Partially] Done
  - Will have a version differences section
  - Allows for multiple contributors
- Would like more input
  - From OEMs
  - From other VFS module developers
  - Send it to me
- Do the simple things first

# Links

- The Samba VFS
  - <u>https://wiki.samba.org/index.php/The\_new\_versi</u>
     <u>on\_of\_Writing\_a\_Samba\_VFS</u>
- Developer WIKI on the VFS:
  - <u>https://wiki.samba.org/index.php/Samba3/SMB2</u>
     <u>#VFS layering: introduce a NT-FSA-layer</u>