Sustaining CTDB Development

Amitay Isaacs amitay@samba.org

Samba Team IBM (Australia Development Labs, Linux Technology Center)

- **→** → **→**

CTDB Project

Motivation: Support for clustered Samba

- Multiple nodes active simultaneously
- Communication between nodes (heartbeat, failover)
- Share databases between nodes

Features:

- Volatile and Persistent databases
- IP failover and load balancing
- Service monitoring

Community:

- http://ctdb.samba.org
- git://git.samba.org/ctdb.git, git://git.samba.org/samba.git

Current Status

э

Branches & Releases



(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

CTDB Releases

- 2.2 (May 2013) 233 patches
 - performance improvements
 - recovery/vacuum database corruption fixes
 - fix race conditions in ctdb tool
- 2.3 (July 2013) 120 patches
 - Add systemd support, fixes to banning code, improved traverse
- 2.4 (August 2013) 90 patches
 - Improved ctdb startup sequence, socket handling
 - Fixed flags handling in recovery daemon, vacuuming bugs
- 2.5 (November 2013) 146 patches
 - Moved ctdb socket from /tmp/ctdb.socket, change default dir
 - Improved documentation

CTDB Releases

- 2.2 (May 2013) 233 patches
 - performance improvements
 - recovery/vacuum database corruption fixes
 - fix race conditions in ctdb tool
- 2.3 (July 2013) 120 patches
 - Add systemd support, fixes to banning code, improved traverse
- 2.4 (August 2013) 90 patches
 - Improved ctdb startup sequence, socket handling
 - Fixed flags handling in recovery daemon, vacuuming bugs
- 2.5 (November 2013) 146 patches
 - Moved ctdb socket from /tmp/ctdb.socket, change default dir
 - Improved documentation
- CTDB tree merged with Samba

CTDB Releases

- 2.5.1 (November 2013) 47 patches
 - Per database locking limits, vfork for locking children
 - Fixes to ctdb tool, persistent transaction code
- 2.5.2 (January 2014) 36 patches
 - Fix ctdb reloadips
 - Event scripts run with vfork
- 2.5.3 (March 2014) 130 patches
 - Improvements to vacuuming performance
 - Record locking compares hashes instead of keys

Contributions in 2013 - CTDB tree

- 380 Martin Schwenke
- 233 Amitay Isaacs
 - 46 Michael Adam
 - 13 Mathieu Parent
 - 6 Sumit Bose
 - 4 Volker Lendecke
 - 2 Srikrishan Malik
 - 1 Christian Ambach
 - 1 David Disseldorp

.⊒ . ►

Contributions since Jan 2014 - CTDB tree

- 75 Martin Schwenke
- 57 Amitay Isaacs
- 43 Michael Adam
 - 3 Srikrishan Malik
 - 1 David Disseldorp

∃ >

Contributions since Jan 2014 - Samba tree

- 62 Martin Schwenke
- 44 Amitay Isaacs
- 37 Michael Adam
 - 3 Srikrishan Malik
 - 2 Gregor Beck
 - 1 Andrew Bartlett
 - 1 Björn Baumbach
 - 1 David Disseldorp
 - 1 Matthias Dieter Wallnöfer
 - 1 Volker Lendecke

Amitay Isaacs Sustaining CTDB Development

⊡ ► < ≣ ►

æ

- Motivation
 - Remove duplication of talloc, tdb, tevent, replace libraries
 - Autobuild testing of clustered Samba
 - Leverage off Samba release process

Motivation

- Remove duplication of talloc, tdb, tevent, replace libraries
- Autobuild testing of clustered Samba
- Leverage off Samba release process
- Attract more developers

- Motivation
 - Remove duplication of talloc, tdb, tevent, replace libraries
 - Autobuild testing of clustered Samba
 - Leverage off Samba release process
 - Attract more developers
- Not there yet!

- Motivation
 - Remove duplication of talloc, tdb, tevent, replace libraries
 - Autobuild testing of clustered Samba
 - Leverage off Samba release process
 - Attract more developers
- Not there yet!
- To Do

- Motivation
 - Remove duplication of talloc, tdb, tevent, replace libraries
 - Autobuild testing of clustered Samba
 - Leverage off Samba release process
 - Attract more developers
- Not there yet!
- To Do
 - Create waf build for CTDB, Clustered Samba

- Motivation
 - Remove duplication of talloc, tdb, tevent, replace libraries
 - Autobuild testing of clustered Samba
 - Leverage off Samba release process
 - Attract more developers
- Not there yet!
- To Do
 - Create waf build for CTDB, Clustered Samba
 - Setting up clustered samba instance for autobuild

- Motivation
 - Remove duplication of talloc, tdb, tevent, replace libraries
 - Autobuild testing of clustered Samba
 - Leverage off Samba release process
 - Attract more developers
- Not there yet!
- To Do
 - Create waf build for CTDB, Clustered Samba
 - Setting up clustered samba instance for autobuild
 - Split monolithic code

Bugs

Amitay Isaacs Sustaining CTDB Development

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

ctdbd: ./lib/tevent/tevent_util.c:110 Handling event took 129 seconds!

э

ctdbd: ./lib/tevent/tevent_util.c:110 Handling event took 129 seconds!

• Instrumented tevent epoll loop to debug timing issues

< ∃⇒

∃ >

ctdbd: ./lib/tevent/tevent_util.c:110 Handling event took 129 seconds!

- Instrumented tevent epoll loop to debug timing issues
- + if (getpid() == ctdbd_pid) tevent_before_wait(epoll_ev->ev); ret = epoll_wait(epoll_ev->epoll_fd, events, MAXEVENTS, timeout);
- + if (getpid() == ctdbd_pid) tevent_after_wait(epoll_ev->ev);

A B > A B >

ctdbd: ./lib/tevent/tevent_util.c:110 Handling event took 129 seconds!

Instrumented tevent epoll loop to debug timing issues

- + if (getpid() == ctdbd_pid) tevent_before_wait(epoll_ev->ev); ret = epoll_wait(epoll_ev->epoll_fd, events, MAXEVENTS, timeout);
- + if (getpid() == ctdbd_pid) tevent_after_wait(epoll_ev->ev);

→ 3 → 4 3

• Generic framework to instrument tevent

э

- Generic framework to instrument tevent
- + tevent_trace_point_callback(epoll_ev->ev, TEVENT_TRACE_BEFORE_WAIT);
 ret = epoll_wait(epoll_ev->epoll_fd, events, MAXEVENTS, timeout);
- + tevent_trace_point_callback(epoll_ev->ev, TEVENT_TRACE_AFTER_WAIT);

- Generic framework to instrument tevent
- + tevent_trace_point_callback(epoll_ev->ev, TEVENT_TRACE_BEFORE_WAIT);
 ret = epoll_wait(epoll_ev->epoll_fd, events, MAXEVENTS, timeout);
- + tevent_trace_point_callback(epoll_ev->ev, TEVENT_TRACE_AFTER_WAIT);

Problem

ctdbd: ./lib/tevent/tevent_util.c:110 Handling event took 129 seconds!

• CTDB uses all types of events - fd, timer, immediate, signal

< ∃ >

Problem

- CTDB uses all types of events fd, timer, immediate, signal
- Which type of event is taking too long?

Problem

- CTDB uses all types of events fd, timer, immediate, signal
- Which type of event is taking too long?
 - FD events Socket handling?

- Socket handling
 - Read 1 packet per FD event

< E

____ ▶

æ

- Socket handling improvement to reduce recv-Q
 - Read all available data, process packets using immediate events

- Socket handling improvement to reduce recv-Q
 - Read all available data, process packets using immediate events

```
static int epoll_event_loop_once(struct tevent_context *ev)
{
    if (ev->immediate_events && tevent_common_loop_immediate(ev)) {
            return 0;
    }
    tval = tevent_common_loop_timer_delay(ev);
    if (tevent timeval is zero(&tval)) {
            return 0;
    }
    return epoll_event_loop(epoll_ev, &tval);
}
```

- Socket handling improvement to reduce recv-Q
 - Read all available data, process packets using immediate events
 - Immediate events lead to unfair scheduling across FDs

```
static int epoll_event_loop_once(struct tevent_context *ev)
{
    if (ev->immediate_events && tevent_common_loop_immediate(ev)) {
        return 0;
    }
    tval = tevent_common_loop_timer_delay(ev);
    if (tevent_timeval_is_zero(&tval)) {
        return 0;
    }
    return epoll_event_loop(epoll_ev, &tval);
}
```

Problem

- CTDB uses all types of events fd, timer, immediate, signal
- Which type of event is taking too long?

Problem

- CTDB uses all types of events fd, timer, immediate, signal
- Which type of event is taking too long?
 - FD events problem resolved using fixed size buffers

- CTDB uses all types of events fd, timer, immediate, signal
- Which type of event is taking too long?
 - FD events problem resolved using fixed size buffers
- Need more information ...
• Instrument tevent

・ロット (雪) () () (

문 🛌 문

- Instrument tevent
 - Add more trace points

```
+ /* trace point just before calling fd handler function. */
+ TEVENT_TRACE_BEFORE_FD_HANDLER,
+ /* trace point just after calling fd handler function. */
+ TEVENT TRACE AFTER FD HANDLER.
+
+ /* trace point just before calling timed event handler function. */
+ TEVENT_TRACE_BEFORE_TIMED_HANDLER,
+ /* trace point just after calling timed event handler function. */
+ TEVENT TRACE AFTER TIMED HANDLER.
+
+ /* trace point just before calling immediate event handler function. */
+ TEVENT TRACE BEFORE IMMEDIATE HANDLER.
+ /* trace point just after calling immediate event handler function. */
+ TEVENT TRACE AFTER IMMEDIATE HANDLER.
```

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

- Instrument tevent
 - Add more trace points
 - Count individual types of events

< E

э

Instrument tevent

- Add more trace points
- Count individual types of events

2014/02/11 15:50:11 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 12 timed events! 2014/02/11 15:52:18 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:53:22 : Handling timed event took 4 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:53:23 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 0 FD events, 8 timed events! 2014/02/11 15:54:26 : Handling FD event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:54:27 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 12 timed events! 2014/02/11 15:55:35 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:55:35 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 11 timed events! 2014/02/11 15:58:47 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:58:47 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 9 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:00:51 : Handling FD event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:00:53 : Handling event took 5 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 8 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:02:59 : Handling timed event took 5 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:03:00 : Handling event took 5 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 10 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:05:06 : Handling timed event took 5 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:05:07 : Handling event took 5 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 10 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:07:12 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:07:12 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 10 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:09:22 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:09:22 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 11 timed events!

Instrument tevent

- Add more trace points
- Count individual types of events

2014/02/11 15:50:11 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 12 timed events! 2014/02/11 15:52:18 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:53:22 : Handling timed event took 4 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:53:23 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 0 FD events, 8 timed events! 2014/02/11 15:54:26 : Handling FD event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:54:27 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 12 timed events! 2014/02/11 15:55:35 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:55:35 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 11 timed events! 2014/02/11 15:58:47 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 15:58:47 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 9 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:00:51 : Handling FD event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:00:53 : Handling event took 5 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 8 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:02:59 : Handling timed event took 5 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:03:00 : Handling event took 5 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 10 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:05:06 : Handling timed event took 5 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:05:07 : Handling event took 5 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 10 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:07:12 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:07:12 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 10 timed events! 2014/02/11 16:09:22 : Handling timed event took 3 seconds! 2014/02/11 16:09:22 : Handling event took 4 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 11 timed events!

• Lots of timer events.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Instrument tevent some more

・ロット (日) ・ (日) ・

문 🛌 문

- Instrument tevent some more
 - CTDB uses mostly old style tevent function names event_add_fd, event_add_timed

#ifdef TEVENT_COMPAT_DEFINES

#endif /* TEVENT_COMPAT_DEFINES */

- Instrument tevent some more
 - CTDB uses mostly old style tevent function names event_add_fd, event_add_timed
 - Create wrappers for these functions

#undef event_add_fd
#undef event_add_timed

#define event_add_fd(ev, mem_ctx, fd, flags, handler, private_data) \
_event_add_fd(ev, mem_ctx, fd, flags, handler, private_data, #handler)

#define event_add_timed(ev, mem_ctx, next, handler, private_data) \
_event_add_timed(ev, mem_ctx, next, handler, private_data, #handler)

void ctdb_event_stack_clear(void); void ctdb_event_stack_dump(void);

- Instrument tevent some more
 - CTDB uses mostly old style tevent function names event_add_fd, event_add_timed
 - Create wrappers for these functions

```
2014/02/13 15:09:04: Handling event took 9 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 9 timed events, 0 immediate events!
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb check for dead nodes (1) - 0.000069 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb vacuum event (1) - 0.033250 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_check_health (1) - 0.006896 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb vacuum event (1) - 0.007691 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb vacuum event (1) - 0.250795 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_time_tick (1) - 0.000005 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_ltdb_seqnum_check (1) - 0.000005 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb statistics update (1) - 0.000130 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_vacuum_event (1) - 7.964969 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: FD queue_io_handler (1) - 0.811996 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: Handling event took 6 seconds - Got 0 FD events, 12 timed events, 0 immediate events
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_check_for_dead_nodes (1) - 0.000067 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event stack: TIMER ctdb check health (1) - 0.003055 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event stack: TIMER ctdb time tick (1) - 0.000005 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_ltdb_seqnum_check (1) - 0.000005 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_statistics_update (1) - 0.000182 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event stack: TIMER ctdb vacuum event (7) - 6.464652 seconds
```

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

- Instrument tevent some more
 - CTDB uses mostly old style tevent function names event_add_fd, event_add_timed
 - Create wrappers for these functions

```
2014/02/13 15:09:04: Handling event took 9 seconds - Got 1 FD events, 9 timed events, 0 immediate events!
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb check for dead nodes (1) - 0.000069 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb vacuum event (1) - 0.033250 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_check_health (1) - 0.006896 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb vacuum event (1) - 0.007691 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb vacuum event (1) - 0.250795 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_time_tick (1) - 0.000005 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_ltdb_seqnum_check (1) - 0.000005 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event stack: TIMER ctdb statistics update (1) - 0.000130 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_vacuum_event (1) - 7.964969 seconds
2014/02/13 15:09:04: event_stack: FD queue_io_handler (1) - 0.811996 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: Handling event took 6 seconds - Got 0 FD events, 12 timed events, 0 immediate events
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_check_for_dead_nodes (1) - 0.000067 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event stack: TIMER ctdb check health (1) - 0.003055 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event stack: TIMER ctdb time tick (1) - 0.000005 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_ltdb_seqnum_check (1) - 0.000005 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event_stack: TIMER ctdb_statistics_update (1) - 0.000182 seconds
2014/02/13 15:10:11: event stack: TIMER ctdb vacuum event (7) - 6.464652 seconds
```

o ctdb_vacuum_event is the culprit!

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

ctdbd: ./lib/tevent/tevent_util.c:110 Handling event took 129 seconds!

- CTDB uses all types of events fd, timer, immediate, signal
- Which type of event is taking too long?
 - FD events problem resolved using fixed size buffers
 - timer events ctdb_vacuum_event

ctdbd: ./lib/tevent/tevent_util.c:110 Handling event took 129 seconds!

- CTDB uses all types of events fd, timer, immediate, signal
- Which type of event is taking too long?
 - FD events problem resolved using fixed size buffers
 - timer events ctdb_vacuum_event
- Does it explain?

o ctdb_vacuum_event

・ロト ・日下・ ・日下

æ

ъ

- octdb_vacuum_event
 - Runs every 10 seconds

- **→** → **→**

э



- ctdb_vacuum_event
 - Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database

< E

- octdb_vacuum_event
 - Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database
 - Create a vacuuming child process with fork()

- octdb_vacuum_event
 - Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database
 - Create a vacuuming child process with fork()

Time (in μ s) required to create a child process			
Memory	0M	10M	100M
fork	41 ± 3	151 ± 10	1075 ± 61

- o ctdb_vacuum_event
 - Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database
 - Create a vacuuming child process with fork()
 - On a busy system with large tdb databases (locking, brlock), this can be quite expensive

o ctdb_vacuum_event

- Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database
- Create a vacuuming child process with fork()
- On a busy system with large tdb databases (locking, brlock), this can be quite expensive
- If multiple vacuuming events trigger at the same time, ...

o ctdb_vacuum_event

- Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database
- Create a vacuuming child process with fork()
- On a busy system with large tdb databases (locking, brlock), this can be quite expensive
- If multiple vacuuming events trigger at the same time, ...
 - Controls to CTDB daemon can time out

octdb_vacuum_event

- Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database
- Create a vacuuming child process with fork()
- On a busy system with large tdb databases (locking, brlock), this can be quite expensive
- If multiple vacuuming events trigger at the same time, ...
 - Controls to CTDB daemon can time out
- Solutions

- o ctdb_vacuum_event
 - Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database
 - Create a vacuuming child process with fork()
 - On a busy system with large tdb databases (locking, brlock), this can be quite expensive
 - If multiple vacuuming events trigger at the same time, ...
 - Controls to CTDB daemon can time out
- Solutions
 - Stagger vacuuming child processes?

o ctdb_vacuum_event

- Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database
- Create a vacuuming child process with fork()
- On a busy system with large tdb databases (locking, brlock), this can be quite expensive
- If multiple vacuuming events trigger at the same time, ...
 - Controls to CTDB daemon can time out
- Solutions
 - Stagger vacuuming child processes?
 - Create a long running vacuuming daemon?

o ctdb_vacuum_event

- Runs every 10 seconds for each volatile database
- Create a vacuuming child process with fork()
- On a busy system with large tdb databases (locking, brlock), this can be quite expensive
- If multiple vacuuming events trigger at the same time, ...
 - Controls to CTDB daemon can time out
- Solutions
 - Stagger vacuuming child processes?
 - Create a long running vacuuming daemon?
- Vacuuming has other problems too ...

Vacuuming performance

Problem

ctdbd: Vacuuming child process timed out for db locking.tdb

• Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)

Vacuuming performance

Problem

- Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)
- What is Vacuuming? Why is it important?

- Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)
- What is Vacuuming? Why is it important?
- When Samba is done with a record, it deletes it from TDB.

- Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)
- What is Vacuuming? Why is it important?
- When Samba is done with a record, it deletes it from TDB. (e.g. When a file is closed, locking.tdb record is deleted)

- Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)
- What is Vacuuming? Why is it important?
- When Samba is done with a record, it deletes it from TDB. (e.g. When a file is closed, locking.tdb record is deleted)
- With CTDB, the record cannot be deleted immediately.

- Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)
- What is Vacuuming? Why is it important?
- When Samba is done with a record, it deletes it from TDB. (e.g. When a file is closed, locking.tdb record is deleted)
- With CTDB, the record cannot be deleted immediately.
 - Instead, mark the record as deleted (empty data),

- Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)
- What is Vacuuming? Why is it important?
- When Samba is done with a record, it deletes it from TDB. (e.g. When a file is closed, locking.tdb record is deleted)
- With CTDB, the record cannot be deleted immediately.
 - Instead, mark the record as deleted (empty data),
 - and **delete** from all the nodes (vacuuming).

- Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)
- What is Vacuuming? Why is it important?
- When Samba is done with a record, it deletes it from TDB. (e.g. When a file is closed, locking.tdb record is deleted)
- With CTDB, the record cannot be deleted immediately.
 - Instead, mark the record as deleted (empty data),
 - and **delete** from all the nodes (vacuuming).
- Why not delete the record from all nodes immediately?

- Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)
- What is Vacuuming? Why is it important?
- When Samba is done with a record, it deletes it from TDB. (e.g. When a file is closed, locking.tdb record is deleted)
- With CTDB, the record cannot be deleted immediately.
 - Instead, mark the record as deleted (empty data),
 - and **delete** from all the nodes (vacuuming).
- Why not delete the record from all nodes immediately?
 - Performance

- Timer to track run-away vacuuming (120 seconds)
- What is Vacuuming? Why is it important?
- When Samba is done with a record, it deletes it from TDB. (e.g. When a file is closed, locking.tdb record is deleted)
- With CTDB, the record cannot be deleted immediately.
 - Instead, mark the record as deleted (empty data),
 - and **delete** from all the nodes (vacuuming).
- Why not delete the record from all nodes immediately?
 - Performance
 - What happens if deleting fails on a remote node?

Vacuuming performance

- Vacuuming Process
 - On dmaster migrate empty record to lmaster
 - On Imaster, write empty record to the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete empty record from the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete record locally

Vacuuming performance

- Vacuuming Process
 - On dmaster migrate empty record to lmaster
 - ② On Imaster, write empty record to the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete empty record from the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete record locally

• This process is repeated for each and every deleted record
- Vacuuming Process
 - On dmaster migrate empty record to lmaster
 - 2 On *Imaster*, write empty record to the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete empty record from the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete record locally
- This process is repeated for each and every deleted record
- Need to handle data corruption if database recovery happens!

- Vacuuming Process
 - On dmaster migrate empty record to lmaster
 - 2 On *Imaster*, write empty record to the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete empty record from the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete record locally
- This process is repeated for each and every deleted record
- Need to handle data corruption if database recovery happens!
- At every stage, check if the record is still empty

- Vacuuming Process
 - On dmaster migrate empty record to lmaster
 - 2 On *Imaster*, write empty record to the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete empty record from the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete record locally
- This process is repeated for each and every deleted record
- Need to handle data corruption if database recovery happens!
- At every stage, check if the record is still empty
 - If an operation fails, skip the record

- Vacuuming Process
 - On dmaster migrate empty record to lmaster
 - 2 On *Imaster*, write empty record to the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete empty record from the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete record locally
- This process is repeated for each and every deleted record
- Need to handle data corruption if database recovery happens!
- At every stage, check if the record is still empty
 - If an operation fails, skip the record
- Periodic database traverse to check any skipped records

- Vacuuming Process
 - On dmaster migrate empty record to lmaster
 - 2 On *Imaster*, write empty record to the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete empty record from the other nodes
 - On Imaster, delete record locally
- This process is repeated for each and every deleted record
- Need to handle data corruption if database recovery happens!
- At every stage, check if the record is still empty
 - If an operation fails, skip the record
- Periodic database traverse to check any skipped records
- Vacuuming can get in the way of regular record processing

Improvements

< E

э

- Improvements
 - Use tdb_parse_record() instead of tdb_fetch()

Improvements

- Use tdb_parse_record() instead of tdb_fetch()
- Use non-blocking lock when traversing delete queue

Improvements

- Use tdb_parse_record() instead of tdb_fetch()
- Use non-blocking lock when traversing delete queue
- Improve VACUUM FETCH processing in recovery daemon

Improvements

- Use tdb_parse_record() instead of tdb_fetch()
- Use non-blocking lock when traversing delete queue
- Improve VACUUM FETCH processing in recovery daemon



Going forward

æ

⊸ ≣ ⊁

⊡ ► < ≣ ►

The future?

- Split monolithic code into separate daemons
 - Logging, IP handling, Services monitoring
- Missing CTDB library libctdb
 - Require async API
 - Thread-safe
- CTDB Protocol
 - Auto-generated marshalling/unmarshalling code
 - Version tracking
- Scalability large number of nodes
 - Database recovery
 - Handling record contention
 - Vacuuming
- Pluggable Monitoring and Failover
 - Integration with 3rd party HA

Questions/Comments?

< ∃ →